U.S.S.N. 09/590,985
Filed: June 9, 2000
AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

### Remarks

### Amendments to the Claims

Claims 1-13 are pending. Claims 5, 8 and 11 were allowed. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9, 10, and 12-13 were rejected. Claims 1, and 12-13 have been amended to define the oxyacid as an acid defined in the Markush group recited therein. Support is found at least in the original claims and at p. 4, line 23 to p. 5, line 6; and p. 5, line 13 to p. 6, line 14.

# Specification

The Examiner alleged that chloric acid and cyanic acid do not contain any oxygen atom and thus are not be classified as oxyacids as stated in the specification at p. 4, lines 23-29. The applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner misread these two acid molecules. One of ordinary skill in the art would know chloric acid is HClO<sub>3</sub> and cyanic acid is HOCN (see the attached explanation of the two terms by dictionary.com). As such, the Examiner's objection to the inclusion of these two molecules is unfounded.

# Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly lacking enablement for reciting the term "molecular acid". The claims are amended to delete the term and insert in place thereof a definition of the oxyacid as fully provided in the specification and originally filed dependent claims.

PSU99-2127 058247/00009 U.S.S.N. 09/590,985 Filed: June 9, 2000 AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

# Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,248,469 to Formato et al. ("Formato"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection to the extent that it is applied to the claims as amended.

#### Formato

Formato describes solid polymer electrolyte membranes (SPEMs) which include a porous polymer substrate interpenetrated with an ion-conducting material (col. 5, lines 62-64; col. 9, line 55 to col. 10, line 43). The polymer substrate is a porous polymer having a pore size in the range of 10 Å to 2000 Å (col. 6, lines 25-50; col. 10, lines 6-8). The ion-conducting material is a sulfonated, phosphonated or carboxylated aromatic polymer or carboxylic, phosphonic or sulfonic acid substituted non-aromatic polymer such as perfluorinated vinyl ethers (col. 7, lines 10-28; col. 14, lines 9-41).

As such, in the SPEMs described in Formato, the ion-conducting material is a filling material to fill in the pores of the substrate polymer film. The SPEMs do not contain ion-conducting material in the form of a film. Therefore, Formato is relevant only if the ion-conducting material is considered as an oxyacid.

The SPEMs described in Formato are prepared by a two step process. First, a porous substrate polymer film is formed. The porous film is then placed in a solution of the ion-conducting material to allow the pores in the substrate film to be filled with the ion-conducting material. The film filled with the ion-conducting material is then taken out of the solution and

U.S.S.N. 09/590,985 Filed: June 9, 2000

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

allowed to dry (Example 9). Alternatively, the SPEMs can be prepared by casting a common solution of the substrate polymer and the ion-conducting material (col. 16, lines 38-57).

### The claimed invention

The claims as amended are drawn to a proton conducting polymeric membrane, the method of making the membrane, and a fuel cell formed of the membrane. The method of making the polymeric membrane includes the following steps: (1) dissolving a polymer in an organic solvent to form a polymer solution; (b) adding an oxyacid to the polymer solution; (c) casting the oxyacid-containing polymer solution onto a casting surface; and (d) removing the organic solvent so as to form a proton conducting polymeric membrane.

The oxyacid defined in the claims as amended is not a polymeric acid. As defined at p. 4, line 23 to p. 5, line 6; and p. 5, line 13 to p. 6, line 14 of the specification, exemplary useful oxyacids include boric acid, carbonic acid, cyanic acid, isocyanic acid, silicic acid, nitric acid, nitrous acid, phosphoric acid, phosphorous acid, hypophosphorous acid, arsenic acid, arsenious acid, antimonic acid, sulfuric acid, sulfurous acid, selenic acid, selenious acid, telluric acid, chromic acid, dichromic acid, perchloric acid, chloric acid, chlorous acid, hypochlorous acid, bromic acid, hypobromous acid, periodic acid, iodic acid, hypoiodous acid, permanganic acid, manganic acid, pertechnetic acid, technetic acid, perrhehhic acid, rehnnic acids, and condensation products thereof. The oxyacid may optionally bear a substituent such as alkyl, fluoroalkyl, alkoxy, flouroalkoxy, alkylamino, fluoroalkylamino, aryl, aryloxy, or arylamino groups. The aryl group may optionally bear a substituent such as a halo, alkyl, fluoroalkyl, alkoxy, fluoroalkoxy, alkylamino, or fluoroalkylamino group.

PSU 99-3127 053247/00009 U.S.S.N. 09/590,985 Filed: June 9, 2000

### AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Therefore, to the extent that Formato is relevant, it requires an ion conducting material formed of a polymer derivatized with sulfonyl, phosphoryl, or carboxyl groups as an oxyacid.

The oxyacid defined in the amended claims is not a polymeric acid.

The Examiner alleged that Formato teaches using a non-polymeric acid in Example 4 thereof. This is a misreading of Example 4 of Formato. Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Example 4 of Formato teaches making and using a polymeric acid for the preparation of a ion-conducting film described therein (see col. 37, lines 58-59 of Formato).

As such, Formato does not anticipate claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, and 12-13, as amended, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

# Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 2 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Formato. The applicants respectfully disagree if the rejection is applied to the claims as amended.

First, as discussed above, to the extent that Formato is relevant, it requires a polymer derivatized with sulfonyl, phosphoryl, or carboxylated groups as oxyacid. In contrast, the claims as amended require a non-polymeric oxyacid rather than a polymeric oxyacid. Therefore, Formato failed to disclose each and every element of the claimed method and film. Second, Formato does not provide motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate an oxyacid defined in claims 2 and 9 of the present application into the SPEMs defined therein. In contrast, Formato teaches rinsing out and washing away the non-polymeric acidic residue when an acid such as sulfuric acid is used as solvent in the process of making the film forming polymer. Third, even if Formato provided the motivation for one to make and use the method and

PSU 99-2127 058247/00009

NO. 1302 P. 14

HOLLAND & KNIGHT OCT. 15.-2003 5:40PM

> U.S.S.N. 09/590,985 Filed: June 9, 2000

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

membrane defined in the claims, Formato still fails to lead one of ordinary skill in the art to have a reasonable expectation of success of the method of defined by claims 2 and 9. As discussed above, an oxyacid as defined in claims 2 or 9 of the present application, if used in the process defined in Formato, would be washed away or neutralized. As such, Formato does not make claims 2 and 9 prima facie obvious.

Further, Formato teaches away from claims 2 and 9. Contrary to the claimed method, Formato teaches washing away the acidic residues from the SPEMs described therein. Therefore, Formato teaches away from claims 2 and 9 and other claims, as amended. As such, the amended claims 2 and 9 and any other claims, are not obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Formato.

Allowance of claims 1-13, as amended, is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrea L. Pabst

Reg. No. 31,284

Date: October 15, 2003 HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP One Atlantic Center, Suite 2000 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3400 (404) 817-8473 (404) 817-8588 (Fax) ppabst@hklaw.com